

**Rubric for Student Technology Initiatives Grant
Campus Application**

CRITERIA	4	3	2	1	SCORE
Detailed Overview of Project 20 points	Includes all components required for project and no additional information is needed to understand intent. 13-20 points	Includes components required for project, but additional information is needed for understanding. 6-12 points	Components required for project are lacking; additional information is needed for understanding. 1-5 points	Information is not sufficient to understand intent of project. 0 points	
Need for Project 15 points	States a need that is consistent with District goals. 11-15 points	States a need that is somewhat consistent with District goals. 6-10 points	Need stated does not address District goals. 1-5 points	No need stated. 0 points	
Measurable Objectives of the Initiative for Evaluation of Academic Improvement 15 points	Objectives are defined and obtainable. Method for measuring objectives is clear and appropriate. Anticipated academic improvements are stated and are measurable. 11-15 points	Objectives are defined and method for measuring objectives is clear. Anticipated academic improvements are not clearly stated and cannot be effectively measured. 6-10 points	Objectives are defined. Anticipated academic improvements are not clearly stated and cannot be effectively measured. 1-5 points	Objectives are not clear. No measurable improvements are included. 0 points	
Explanation of How Project Meets District Instructional Goals 15 points	Explanation is consistent with District Mission, Improvement Plan and Curriculum Guidelines. 11-15 points	Explanation is consistent with District Mission and Improvement Plan <i>or</i> Curriculum Guidelines (2 of 3). 6-10 points	Explanation addresses District Mission but does not include improvement or curricular goals (1 of 3). 1-5 points	Explanation does not state how project meets District instructional goals. 0 points	
Research-based teaching methods 15 points	Detailed and sufficient documentation is cited, and additional information or details are included to support project. 11-15 points	Detailed and sufficient documentation is cited, but no additional details are included. 6-10 points	Lacking sufficient documentation. 1-5 points	No documentation is cited. 0 points	
Passion For Project and Potential Impact on Students 10 points	This initiative has the potential to involve a large number of students with a long-term impact. 8-10 points	This initiative has the potential to involve a large number of students with some impact. 4-7 points	It is indicated this initiative will only impact a few students. 1-3 points	Potential impact on students is not addressed. 0 points	
Timeline 5 points	Timeline is clearly stated with realistic dates that are attainable. 4-5 points	Timeline is stated with dates that may be attainable. 2-3 points	Timeline is not clear <i>or</i> is not realistic for successful completion of initiative. 1-2 points	No timeline is included. 0 points	
Budget 5 points	A detailed budget with items and costs listed is included. 4-5 points	A detailed budget with items listed and estimated costs on most items. 2-3 points	Some budget details are included. 1-2 points	No budget is included. 0 points	